

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/618,536	07/18/2000	Gregory S. Bayley	TRW(AP)4566	8672
7:	590 03/14/2003			
Tarolli Sundheim Covell Tummino & Szabo LLP 1111 Leader Bldg 526 Superior Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114-1400			EXAMINER	
			ILAN, RUTH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3616	
			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/618,536	BAYLEY ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ruth Ilan	3616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 24 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the same of this application at the same of the sam	ition. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension coriginally set in the final Office action; o	on on			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	riod set forth in f the appeal.				
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b						
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the	;			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 2,5,7,8,11,13, filed amendment canceling the non-allowable clair	<u>21 and 22</u> would be allowable if s m(s).	submitted in a separate, timely				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 1-22.						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_					
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other: PAUL N. DI SUPERVISORY PATI	CKSON 3)17) -3 ENT EXAMINER	Ruth llan Ruth llan Retort Everyinger				
TECHNOLOGY C		Patent Examiner				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the applicant has attempted to amend claim 1 to further define over the prior art, by changing the language of the last paragraph of claim 1. Further consideration will be required. Please note that based on a cursory review, it appears that the prior art rejection will be maintained.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Based on Applicant's comments, it appears that the Examiner's 112 2nd paragraph concerns still hold. That is claim 1 is trying to claim a method of designing an air bag, rather than the apparatus itself. Bohman et al. teaches a predetermined pressure (1.5 bar) and teaches a predetermined thickness. The method of derivation, that is trial and error, is not germaine to the issue of patentability. Additionally, the use of the term function in claim 1 is broad enough to include results determined based on empirical evidence..